

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,772	09/26/2001	Ronald G. Butcher	60,298-397; ST231	1768	
26096	7590 02/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, DANNY	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	AM, MI 48009		2836	2836	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/963,772	BUTCHER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Danny Nguyen	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of the final within two months.	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			ie appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	main alo allo polico cortoral il c		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application is	condition for allower	nce hecause:
see the attachment.			ilog Decause:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
_			
		BRIAN SIRCUS	•
	SUP	FTY/SCRY PATENT E	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) TECHNOLOGY CAMPEN 2009

Application/Control Number: 09/963,772

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Culp discloses a compressor 10 having a protection module 86 and a terminal box assembly 28 mounted exterior to the compressor housing. The terminal box assembly includes an indicator 96, which provides an error indication of the fault to a technician. There is no benefit in providing the terminal box assembly of Culp on the compressor assembly of Yamada. Applicant mis-characterized what examiner recited in the rejection (see the rejection mailed 1/12/2006). As a result, examiner believes that there is benefit, which is recited in the previous rejection to provide the signaling device of Culp (such as an alarm is located outside the compressor housing) on the protection circuit of Yamada. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 10 do not overcome the combination of Yamada and Culp. Thus, the rejection of claims 10 and 18 is remained.